UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/516,624	02/25/2005	Harutiun Manoukian	3687-99	5280
	7590 07/31/200 NDERHYE, PC	EXAMINER		
901 NORTH G	LEBE ROAD, 11TH F	YAN, REN LUO		
ARLINGTON, VA 22203			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2854	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			07/31/2008	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Intonvious Summans	10/516,624	MANOUKIAN, HARUTIUN			
Interview Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	Ren L. Yan	2854			
All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO	personnel):				
(1) <u>Ren L. Yan</u> .	(3)				
(2) <u>Mr. Bowen</u> .	(4)				
Date of Interview: 28 July 2008.					
Type: a)⊠ Telephonic b)⊡ Video Conference c)⊡ Personal [copy given to: 1)⊡ applicant	2)∏ applicant's representative	3]			
Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) Yes If Yes, brief description:	e)⊠ No.				
Claim(s) discussed: <u>1,27 and 28</u> .					
Identification of prior art discussed: applied prior art in the Final rejection.					
Agreement with respect to the claims f) was reached.	g)⊠ was not reached. h)⊡ N	I /A.			
Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: Discussion of the amendment after Final filed on 7-7-2008. The examiner stated that the proposed amendment to claims 27 and 28 appears to distinguish over the applied prior art, subject to an update search. Regarding claim 1, the examiner pointed out that the word "it" on line 3 is unclear whether it refers to the station or the mobile printing bridge and the rejection to claim 1 is maintained. (A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.) THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAY'S FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.					
Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an	/Ren L Yan/ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 28 Examiner's signature, if requi				